

Can evaluators give each player a score?

Recommendation: No. Scoring systems have been tried in the past and have not worked. Players and parents should not be allowed to view evaluators rankings or comments.

Should we continue with 4 on 4 and 3 on 3 scrimmages for phase 1 upper and lower pools of the boys B tryouts?

Recommendation: Yes. 4 on 4 and 3 on 3 scrimmages can be used in the upper and lower pools. The deciding factor on whether to use them or not should be the number of skaters in the pool to assure that skaters are getting enough recovery period before going back on the ice.

Should tryouts be closed to spectators?

Recommendation: No

Do we need outside evaluators?

Recommendation: No. The committee believes there are sufficient numbers of highly qualified evaluators within the Centennial Hockey Community that have a vested interest in the success of CYHA hockey. The committee recommends using these people to evaluate at tryouts with the restriction that no evaluator will have a son or daughter on the ice while they are evaluating. Only if there are insufficient numbers of internal evaluators should we look for outside paid evaluators.

Is the tryout process too long for the boys B tryouts?

Recommendation: Yes. The committee recommends that the phase 1 boys B tryout be changed as follows: Proceed as in the past with the first three hours (squirts and pee wees 1 hour drill 2 hours scrimmage, bantams 3 hours scrimmage). At the conclusion of the three hours the evaluators will identify the top 15 players. These 15 players plus the 5 cut from the A pool will then automatically move to B1 phase 2 tryouts. The evaluators will then identify the next 30 players who will return for an additional 1 hour scrimmage. The remaining players will be moved to the B2 phase 2. From this one hour scrimmage 10 players will be selected by the evaluators to move to the B1 phase 2 tryouts the remaining players will move to the B2 phase 2.

Goalie tryout format

What is the weight given to goalie drills versus scrimmage?

Recommendation: The committee recommends that the goalie director examine the goalie tryout process and more clearly define the goalie tryout process. This should be completed and posted on the web site so that goalies and goalie parents have sufficient time to read and understand the process prior to the commencement of tryouts. Suggested to be posted prior to Sept 1st.

Should non parent A coaches have coach picks to move additional players in to phase 2?

Recommendation: Yes with a limit of up to 2 players.

Should the top (obvious A talent level players) players be pulled out of the phase 1 tryouts to give evaluators a better look at other players?

Recommendation: No. The evaluators need to see how all players play against the top players to properly assess if they should be considered for the A team.

Should we continue to pull bottom (obvious B talent level) players from phase 1 A tryouts?

Recommendation: Yes, only after the second hour.

The Tryout committee would also like to propose that the pre tryout meeting be a mandatory meeting for all players and at least one guardian. The meeting would be held in a school gymnasium or similar venue. The tryout process would be explained and questions could be asked by parents or players. The committee feels that this meeting would make the tryout process clear to everyone and would eliminate some complaints.